# PARCC AND NJ ASK 4<sup>TH</sup> GRADE SCIENCE PUBLIC BOARD REPORT

SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 ADMINISTRATIONS

ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2016

ORADELL ADMINISTRATIVE TEAM

Measuring College and Career Readiness

### NEW JERSEY'S STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

- 2016 marks the 2<sup>nd</sup> administration of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) and the first opportunity to compare year-to-year results as the following slides will show.
- Students took PARCC English Language Arts and Literacy Assessments (ELA/L) in grades 3 11.
- Students took PARCC Mathematics Assessments in grades 3 8 and End of Course Assessments in Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II.

#### WHAT IS IN THIS PRESENTATION?

- Per N.J.A.C. 6A:8-4.3(a):
  - Chief school administrators shall report preliminary and final results of annual assessments to district boards of education within 60 days of receipt of information from the Department
- Statewide and district level scores only
  - State comparisons to subgroup data rumored to be released in November
- NJDOE and OPS PARCC participation rates
  - Grade level (horizontal) versus cohort (diagonal) analysis
- NJASK 4<sup>th</sup> grade results for OPS students 14-15, 15-16
- PARCC performance levels by range (Level 1-5)
- PARCC state-wide and OPS data for ELA
  - LinkIt! Mobility Tree Charts by cohort
- PARCC state-wide and OPS data for Math
  - LinkIt! Mobility Tree Charts by cohort
- PARCC reflection questions
- PARCC parent resources

#### COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY GRADE

	Studen	Year to Year Increase			
	2015-2016	2014-2015	by Grade Level		
Grade 3	99,045	95,227	3,818 (+4%)		
Grade 4	96,823	93,769	3,054 (+3.3%)		
Grade 5	95,760	94,599	1,161 (+1.2%)		
Grade 6	96,896	92,578	4,318 (+4.7%)		

Note: Data shown is preliminary. "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for English Language Arts/Literacy.

#### COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY COHORT

	Studen	Cohort Increase	
	2015-2016	2014-2015	Per Year
Grade 3	99,045	95,227	n/a
Grade 4	96,823	93,769	+1,596 (+1.6%)
Grade 5	95,760	94,599	+1,991 (+2.1%)
Grade 6	96,896	92,578	+2,297 (+2.4%)

Note: Data shown is preliminary. "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for English Language Arts/Literacy.

# COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY GRADE

	Studen	Difference By	
	2015-2016	2014-2015	Grade Level
Grade 3	115	<del></del> 86	+29 (+33%)
Grade 4	92 ←	110	-18 (-16.4%)
Grade 5	112	103	+9 (+8.7%)
Grade 6	106	106	+0 (0%)

Note: "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for English Language Arts/Literacy.

# COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY COHORT

	Studen	Difference by Cohort		
	2015-2016	2014-2015	Difference by Conort	
Grade 3	115	86	n/a	
Grade 4	92	110	+6 (+7%)	
Grade 5	112	103	+2 (+1.8%)	
Grade 6	106	106	+3 (+2.9%)	

Note: "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for English Language Arts/Literacy.

### COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY GRADE

	Studen	Year to Year Increase			
	2015-2016	2014-2015	by Grade Level		
Grade 3	99,846	95,932	3,914 (+4%)		
Grade 4	97,620	94,484	3,136 (+3.3%)		
Grade 5	96,449	— 95,293	1,156 (+1.2%)		
Grade 6	97,546	93,128	4,418 (+4.7%)		

Notes: Data shown is preliminary. "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for Mathematics.

### COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY COHORT

	Studen	Year to Year Increase	
	2015-2016	2014-2015	by Cohort
Grade 3	99,846	95,932	n/a
Grade 4	97,620	94,484	+1,688 (+1.8%)
Grade 5	96,449	95,293	+1,965 (+2.1%)
Grade 6	97,546	93,128	+2,253 (+2.4%)

Notes: Data shown is preliminary. "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for Mathematics.

# COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY GRADE

	Studen	Year to Year Increase		
	2016	2015	by Grade Level	
Grade 3	116	<del></del> 86	+30 (+34.9%)	
Grade 4	93	112	-19 (-17%)	
Grade 5	114	103	+11 (10.7%)	
Grade 6	106	106	+0 (0%)	

Notes: "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for Mathematics.

### COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY COHORT

	Studen	Year to Year Increase	
	2015-2016	2014-2015	by Cohort
Grade 3	116	86	n/a
Grade 4	93	112	+7 (+8.1%)
Grade 5	114	103	+2 (+1.8%)
Grade 6	106	106	+3 (+2.9%)

Notes: Data shown is preliminary. "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for Mathematics.

#### NJ ASK 4<sup>TH</sup> GRADE SCIENCE RESULTS

	Partially Proficient (0-199)	Proficient (200-249)	Advanced Proficient (250-300)
<b>2014-2015</b> (116 students tested)	3 students (2.6%)	<b>42 students</b> (36.3%)	69 students (59.5%)
<b>2015-2016</b> (95 students tested)	<b>1</b> student (1.1%)	30 students (31.6%)	64 students (67.4%)

#### PARCC PERFORMANCE LEVELS

- Level 1: Not yet meeting grade-level expectations (650-699)
- Level 2: Partially meeting grade-level expectations (700-724)
- Level 3: Approaching grade-level expectations (725-749)
- Level 4: Meeting grade-level expectations
  - 750-809 for 3<sup>rd</sup> grade ELA and 750-789 for 3<sup>rd</sup> grade Math
  - 750-789 for 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA and 750-795 for 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math
  - 750-798 for 5<sup>th</sup> grade ELA and 750-789 for 5<sup>th</sup> grade Math
  - 750-789 for 6<sup>th</sup> grade ELA and 750-787 for 6<sup>th</sup> grade Math
- Level 5: Exceeding grade-level expectations
  - 810-850 for 3<sup>rd</sup> grade ELA and 790-850 for 3<sup>rd</sup> grade Math
  - 790-850 for 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA and 796-850 for 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math
  - 799-850 for 5<sup>th</sup> grade ELA and 790-850 for 5<sup>th</sup> grade Math
  - 790-850 for 6<sup>th</sup> grade ELA and 788-850 for 6<sup>th</sup> grade Math

# COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY

	Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1)		Mee Expect	Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2)		Approaching Expectations (Level 3)		Meeting Expectations (Level 4)		eding tations el 5)	% Change in Level 1 and Level 2	% Change in Level 4 and Level 5 (College and Career Ready)
	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016		
Grade 3	15.1%	13.5%	17.8%	16.0%	23.7%	23.0%	38.6%	41.3%	4.9%	6.2%	<b>1</b> 3.4%	<b>1</b> 4.1%
Grade 4	7.8%	8.2%	14.5%	13.5%	26.6%	24.8%	39.4%	40.8%	11.7%	12.7%	■ 0.6%	<b>1</b> 2.4%
Grade 5	7.2%	6.7%	15.1%	14.7%	26.1%	25.3%	45.1%	46.4%	6.4%	6.9%	↓ 0.9%	1.7%
Grade 6	7.9%	7.5%	15.5%	14.1%	27.8%	26.2%	39.7%	41.3%	9.1%	11.0%	1.9%	<b>3.5</b> %

Notes: Data shown is preliminary. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

# COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY GRADE

	Expec	Meeting tations rel 1)	Exped	y Meeting stations vel 2)	Approaching Expectations (Level 3)		tions Expectation (Level 4		Exceeding Expectations (Level 5)	
	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014 - 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016
Grade 3	1%	5%	14%	9%	31%	16%	48%	59%	6%	11%
Grade 4	0%	0%	4%	3%	18%	21%	59%	64%	19%	12%
Grade 5	0%	0%	8%	4%	18%	14%	59%	55%	15%	27%
Grade 6	0%	1%	5%	8%	14%	24%	59%	50%	22%	17%

# COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY GRADE BY COHORT

	Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1)		Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2)		Approaching Expectations (Level 3)		Meeting Expectations (Level 4)		Exceeding Expectations (Level 5)	
	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016
Grade 3	1%	5%	14%	9%	31%	16%	48%	59%	6%	11%
Grade 4	0%	0%	4%	3%	18%	21%	59%	64%	19%	12%
Grade 5	0%	0%	8%	4%	18%	14%	59%	55%	15%	27%
Grade 6	0%	1%	5%	8%	14%	24%	59%	50%	22%	17%

# COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S COHORT GROWTH 2015-2016 SPRING PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS BY COHORT

		% C	hange in	Level 1 a	nd Level	2			%	Change in	Level 4 a	nd Level 5		
(May 2016 Testing Grade)	2014- Lvl 1	2015 Lvl 2	2015- Lvl 1	2016 Lvl 2	OPS	Si	tate %	2014- Lvl 4	2015 Lvl 5	2015 Lvl 4	-2016 Lvl 5	OPS	S	State
Class of 2019 (3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade)	n/a	n/a	5%	9%	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	59%	11%	n/a		n/a
Class of 2018 (4 <sup>th</sup> Grade)	1%	14%	0%	3%	-12%	1	11.2%	48%	6%	64%	12%	+22%	1	10%
Class of 2017 (5 <sup>th</sup> Grade)	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	1	.9%	59%	19%	55%	27%	+4%	1	2.2%
Class of 2016 (6 <sup>th</sup> Grade)	0%	8%	1%	8%	+1%	1	.7%	59%	15%	50%	17%	-7%	1	.8%

<sup>-</sup> An up arrow indicates an increase of the % change from the previous year where a down arrow shows a decrease of the % change from the previous year.

### ELA ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 3-4 COHORT)

											20	15 PAR	CC Lev	el:			
					Year-to-	-Year Ch	iange:	Not M	eeting		ially eting	Appro	aching	Mee	eting	Exce	eding
					Up 2+ l	_evels		100	0%	55	5%	0	%				
					Up 1 Le	evel		09	%	36	5%	59	)%	18	3%		
					Same			09	%	9	%	33	3%	77	7%	80	)%
					Down 1	Level				0	%	7	%	5	%	20	)%
					Down 2	2+ Level	ls					0	%	0	%	0	%
No	t Meeting		Partia	ally Me	eting		Ар	proachi	ing		١	Meeting	3		Е	xceedin	ıg
2015	2016		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16
	n=0 (	0%		n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=7	18%		n=5	n=4	80%
										•							
	n=0 (	0%		n=6	55%			n=16	59%		n=39	n=30	77%			n=1	20%
						1								1			
	n=1 10	00%		n=4	36%		n=27	n=9	33%			n=2	5%			n=0	0%
	n=0 (	0%	n=11	n=1	9%			n=2	7%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%
n=1	n=0 (	0%		n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%
																	10

### ELA ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 4-5 COHORT)

												20	15 PAR	CC Lev	el:			
						Year-to-	-Year Ch	ange:	Not M	eeting		ially eting	Appro	aching	Me	eting	Exce	eding
						Up 2+ L	evels		-		33	3%	0	%				
						Up 1 Le	evel		-		67	7%	53	3%	23	3%		
						Same			-		0	%	32	2%	60	6%	75	5%
						Down 1	L Level				0	%	16	5%	1:	1%	25	5%
						Down 2	2+ Leve	ls					0	%	0	)%	0	%
No	t Meetii	ng		Parti	ally Me	eting		Ар	proachi	ng		ا	Meeting	3		Е	xceedin	ıg
2015	<b>20</b> 1	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16
	n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=14	23%		n=20	n=15	75%
			ı															
	n=0	0%			n=1	33%			n=10	53%		n=62	n=41	66%			n=5	25%
			ı															
	n=0	0%			n=2	<b>67</b> %		n=19	n=6	32%			n=7	11%			n=0	0%
	n=0	0%		n=3	n=0	0%			n=3	16%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%
n=0	n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%

### ELA ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 5-6 COHORT)

												20	15 PAR	CC Lev	el:			
						Year-to	-Year Ch	ange:	Not M	eeting	Part Mee	ially eting	Appro	aching	Med	eting	Exce	eding
						Up 2+ I	Levels		-		0	%	0	%				
						Up 1 Le	evel		-		63	3%	16	5%	11	L%		
						Same			-		38	3%	53	3%	72	2%	67	7%
						Down 1	1 Level				0	%	26	5%	15	5%	33	3%
						Down 2	2+ Level	S					5	%	2	%	0	%
No	t Meeti	ing		Parti	ally Me	eting		Ар	proachi	ng		- 1	Meeting	3		E	xceedin	ıg
2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16
			1				1											
	n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=7	11%		n=15	n=10	67%
			1				1											
	n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=3	16%		n=61	n=44	72%			n=5	33%
			1				1 r											
	n=0	0%			n=5	63%		n=19	n=10	53%			n=9	15%			n=0	0%
			1															
	n=0	0%		n=8	n=3	38%			n=5	26%			n=1	2%			n=0	0%
			]															
n=0	n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=1	5%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%

#### **ELA TAKEAWAYS**

- Cohort percentage decreases consistent with state averages in the "Not Meeting" and "Partially Meeting" scoring ranges in grades 4, 5, and 6
- Positive cohort percentage growth in the "Meeting" and "Exceeding" scoring ranges in grades 4 and 5 last year
- Continue to focus on students in the "Approaching" range
- Continue to focus on differentiating instruction in classrooms
- Continue to develop teacher efficiency when incorporating new curriculums, materials and state mandates
- Continue to use LinkIt! to identify trends and students

# COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS

	Not Mee Expect (Leve	ting ations	Parti Mee Expect (Leve	ting ations	Expec	aching tations el 3)	Mee Expect (Leve	ations	Expect	eding tations el 5)	% Change in Level 1 and Level 2	% Change in Level 4 and Level 5 (College and Career Ready)
	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016		
Grade 3	8.3%	8.1%	18.5%	15.9%	28.3%	24.3%	36.9%	39.0%	8.0%	12.7%	<b>1</b> 2.8%	<b>1</b> 6.8%
Grade 4	7.2%	8.0%	21.9%	18.6%	30.3%	26.8%	36.3%	41.2%	4.3%	5.4%	2.5%	<b>†</b> 5.9%
Grade 5	6.1%	6.2%	20.7%	18.3%	32.1%	28.2%	34.9%	38.4%	6.1%	8.8%	<b>1</b> 2.3%	<b>1</b> 6.2%
Grade 6	7.6%	8.9%	21.4%	19.1%	30.2%	29.1%	34.8%	35.6%	6.0%	7.3%	1.0%	<b>1</b> 2.2%

Notes: Data shown is preliminary. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

# COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY GRADE

	Expec	Meeting tations rel 1)	Exped	/ Meeting stations vel 2)	Expect	aching tations rel 3)	Mee Expect (Lev		Expe	eeding ctations evel 5)
	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016
Grade 3	0%	2%	7%	3%	27%	26%	52%	51%	14%	19%
Grade 4	0%	1%	9%	10%	23%	12%	59%	72%	9%	5%
Grade 5	0%	0%	4%	5%	28%	16%	52%	46%	16%	33%
Grade 6	0%	2%	7%	8%	19%	31%	62%	45%	12%	14%

# COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY GRADE BY COHORT

	Expec	Meeting tations rel 1)	Exped	/ Meeting stations /el 2)	Expect	aching ations el 3)	Expect	ting ations el 4)	Expe	eeding ctations evel 5)
	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2014- 2015	2015- 2016
Grade 3	0%	2%	7%	3%	27%	26%	52%	51%	14%	19%
Grade 4	0%	1%	9%	10%	23%	12%	59%	72%	9%	5%
Grade 5	0%	0%	4%	5%	28%	16%	52%	46%	16%	33%
Grade 6	0%	2%	7%	8%	19%	<b>31%</b>	62%	45%	12%	14%

#### COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S COHORT GROWTH 2015-2016 SPRING PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY COHORT

		% (	Change in	Level 1	and Level	12			%	Change in	ı Level 4 a	nd Level 5		
(May 2016 Testing Grade)	2014 Lvl 1	-2015 Lvl 2	2015 Lvl 1	-2016 Lvl 2	OPS	S	tate	2014 Lvl 4	-2015 Lvl 5	2015 Lvl 4	-2016 Lvl 5	OPS	S	tate
Class of 2019 (3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade)	n/a	n/a	2%	3%				n/a	n/a	51%	19%			
Class of 2018 (4 <sup>th</sup> Grade)	0%	7%	1%	10%	+4%	1	.2%	52%	14%	72%	5%	+11%	1	1.7%
Class of 2017 (5 <sup>th</sup> Grade)	0%	9%	0%	5%	-4%	1	4.6%	59%	9%	46%	33%	+11%	1	6.6%
Class of 2016 (6 <sup>th</sup> Grade)	0%	4%	2%	8%	+6%	1	1.2%	52%	16%	45%	14%	-9%	1	1.9%

<sup>-</sup> An up arrow indicates an increase of the % change from the previous year where a down arrow shows a decrease of the % change from the previous year.

### MATH ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 3-4 COHORT)

												20	15 PAR	CC Lev	el:			
						Year-to	-Year Ch	ange:	Not M	eeting		tially eting	Appro	aching	Mee	eting	Exce	eding
						Up 2+ I	Levels		-		50	)%	09	%				
						Up 1 Le	evel		,		17	7%	48	%	0	%		
						Same			1	•	33	3%	26	%	90	)%	42	!%
						Down :	1 Level				0	%	26	%	10	)%	58	3%
						Down 2	2+ Level	ls					09	%	0	%	0	%
No	t Meeti	ng		Parti	ally Me	eting		Ар	proachi	ing		1	Meeting	5		Ε	xceedin	g
2015	20:	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16
			1				ī								ı			
	n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%		n=12	n=5	42%
			1				1								ı			
	n=0	0%			n=3	50%			n=11	48%		n=42	n=38	90%			n=7	58%
			1				1 1								l			
	n=0	0%			n=1	17%		n=23	n=6	26%			n=4	10%			n=0	0%
			1												ı			
	n=0	0%		n=6	n=2	33%			n=6	26%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%
			1															
n=0	n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%

### MATH ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 4-5 COHORT)

												20	15 PAR	CC Lev	el:			
						Year-to-	-Year Ch	ange:	Not M	eeting		ially eting	Appro	aching	Mee	eting	Exce	eding
						Up 2+ L	_evels		-		20	)%	4	%				
						Up 1 Le	evel		-		60	)%	58	3%	37	7%		
						Same			-		20	)%	21	.%	56	5%	10	0%
						Down 1	L Level				0	%	17	<b>7</b> %	8	%	0	%
						Down 2	2+ Level	S					0	%	0	%	0	%
No	t Meeti	ng		Partia	ally Me	eting		Ар	proachi	ing		ſ	Meeting	3		E	xceedir	ng
2015	20:	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16
			1															
	n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=1	4%			n=23	37%		n=10	n=10	100%
			1															
	n=0	0%			n=2	20%			n=14	58%		n=63	n=35	56%			n=0	0%
			1															
	n=0	0%			n=6	60%		n=24	n=5	21%			n=5	8%			n=0	0%
	n=0	0%		n=10	n=2	20%			n=4	17%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%
n=0	n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%

### MATH ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 5-6 COHORT)

												20	15 PAR	CC Lov	ol:			
						Year-to	-Year Ch	ange:	Not M	eeting		ially eting	Approx			eting	Exce	eding
						Up 2+ I	_evels		-	-	0	%	09	%				
						Up 1 Le	evel				75	5%	17	%	7	%		
						Same			-	-	0'	%	55	%	67	7%	69	)%
						Down 2	1 Level				25	5%	24	%	24	1%	31	.%
						Down 2	2+ Level	S					39	%	2	%	0	%
No	t Meeti	ing		Parti	ally Me	eting		Ар	proachi	ing		Г	Meeting			Е	xceedin	g
2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16		2015	20	16
			1				l .								l .			
	n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=4	7%		n=16	n=11	69%
			1				l								l			
	n=0	0%			n=0	0%			n=5	17%		n=54	n=36	67%			n=5	31%
			1				l [		- 10				- 10	0.004				
	n=0	0%			n=3	75%	l	n=29	n=16	55%			n=13	24%			n=0	0%
		00/	]			00/				240/				20/				00/
	n=0	0%		n=4	n=0	0%			n=7	24%			n=1	2%			n=0	0%
n-C	m=0	00/	]		n=1	350/			n-1	20/			0	00/			m=0	00/
n=0	n=0	0%			n=1	25%			n=1	3%			n=0	0%			n=0	0%

#### MATH TAKEAWAYS

- Cohort percentage decreases consistent with state averages in the "Not Meeting" and "Partially Meeting" scoring ranges in grades 4 and 5
- Positive cohort growth in the "Meeting" and "Exceeding" scoring range in grades 4 and 5 last year
- Continue to focus on students in the "Approaching" range
- Continue to focus on differentiating instruction in classrooms
- Continue to develop teacher efficiency when incorporating new curriculums, materials and state mandates
- Continue to use LinkIt! to identify trends and students

### SOME QUESTIONS TO GUIDE PARCC DATA REFLECTION

- How will we use PARCC data to identify strengths and gaps that exist in our curriculum and instruction?
- How will we use PARCC data as a tool to address areas in need of improvement or enhancement?
- How can we provide additional resources and support for our educators to meet the learning needs of all our students?

#### RESOURCES FOR PARENTS

- River Dell, River Edge and Oradell jointly sent home PARCC and NJ ASK score reports to families Monday September 26, 2016
- Information on the new 2015-16 PARCC Student Reports: www.state.nj.us/education/assessment/parcc/scores/
- Understanding the student score reports (with translations): understandthescore.org/

