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## NEW JERSEY'S STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

- 2016 marks the $2^{\text {nd }}$ administration of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) and the first opportunity to compare year-to-year results as the following slides will show.
- Students took PARCC English Language Arts and Literacy Assessments (ELA/L) in grades 3-11.
- Students took PARCC Mathematics Assessments in grades 3 8 and End of Course Assessments in Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II.


## WHAT IS IN THIS PRESENTATION?

- Per N.J.A.C. 6A:8-4.3(a):
- Chief school administrators shall report preliminary and final results of annual assessments to district boards of education within 60 days of receipt of information from the Department
- Statewide and district level scores only
- State comparisons to subgroup data rumored to be released in November
- NJDOE and OPS PARCC participation rates
- Grade level (horizontal) versus cohort (diagonal) analysis
- NJASK $4^{\text {th }}$ grade results for OPS students 14-15, 15-16
- PARCC performance levels by range (Level 1-5)
- PARCC state-wide and OPS data for ELA
- Linklt! Mobility Tree Charts by cohort
- PARCC state-wide and OPS data for Math
- Linklt! Mobility Tree Charts by cohort
- PARCC reflection questions
- PARCC parent resources

COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY GRADE

|  | Students Tested |  | Year to Year Increase <br> by Grade Level |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | $2015-2016$ | $2014-2015$ | $3,818(+4 \%)$ |
| Grade 4 | $99,045 \longleftarrow$ | 95,227 | $3,054(+3.3 \%)$ |
| Grade 5 | $95,760 \longleftarrow$ | 93,769 | 3 |
| Grade 6 | 96,896 | 94,599 | $1,161(+1.2 \%)$ |

Note: Data shown is preliminary. "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for English Language Arts/Literacy.

COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY COHORT

|  | Students Tested |  | Cohort Increase <br> Per Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2015-2016$ | $2014-2015$ |  |
| Grade 3 | 99,045 | 95,227 | n/a |
| Grade 4 | 96,823 | 93,769 | $+1,596(+1.6 \%)$ |
| Grade 5 | 95,760 | 94,599 | $+1,991(+2.1 \%)$ |
| Grade 6 | 96,896 | 92,578 | $+2,297(+2.4 \%)$ |

Note: Data shown is preliminary. "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for English Language Arts/Literacy.

COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S
STUDENTS TESTED
SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY GRADE

|  | Students Tested |  | 2014-2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2015-2016$ | Difference By <br> Grade Level |  |
| Grade 3 | 115 | 86 | $+29(+33 \%)$ |
| Grade 4 | 92 | 110 | $-18(-16.4 \%)$ |
| Grade 5 | 112 | 103 | $+9(+8.7 \%)$ |
| Grade 6 | 106 |  | 106 |

Note: "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for English Language Arts/Literacy.

COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S
STUDENTS TESTED
SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY COHORT

|  | Students Tested |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2015-2016$ | $2014-2015$ | Difference by Cohort |
| Grade 3 | 115 | 86 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Grade 4 | 92 | 110 | $+6(+7 \%)$ |
| Grade 5 | 112 | 103 | $+2(+1.8 \%)$ |
| Grade 6 | 106 | 106 | $+3(+2.9 \%)$ |

Note: "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for English Language Arts/Literacy.

COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY GRADE

|  | Students Tested |  | Year to Year Increase by Grade Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015-2016 | 2014-2015 |  |
| Grade 3 | 99,846 | 95,932 | 3,914 (+4\%) |
| Grade 4 | 97,620 | 94,484 | 3,136 (+3.3\%) |
| Grade 5 | 96,449 | 95,293 | 1,156 (+1.2\%) |
| Grade 6 | 97,546 | 93,128 | 4,418 (+4.7\%) |

[^0]COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY COHORT

|  | Students Tested |  | Year to Year Increase <br> by Cohort |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2015-2016$ | $2014-2015$ | n/a |
| Grade 3 | 99,846 | 95,932 | $+94,484$ |
| Grade 4 | 97,620 | 95,293 | $+1,688(+1,8 \%)$ |
| Grade 5 | 96,449 | 93,128 | $+2,253(+2.4 \%)$ |
| Grade 6 | 97,546 |  |  |

COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S STUDENTS TESTED
SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS
MATHEMATICS BY GRADE


Notes: "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for Mathematics.

COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY STUDENTS TESTED SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY COHORT

|  | Students Tested |  | Year to Year Increase <br> by Cohort |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2015-2016$ | $2014-2015$ | n/a |
| Grade 3 | 116 | 86 | $+7(+8.1 \%)$ |
| Grade 4 | 93 | 112 | $+2(+1.8 \%)$ |
| Grade 5 | 114 | 103 | $+3(+2.9 \%)$ |
| Grade 6 | 106 | 106 |  |

[^1]
## NJ ASK $4^{\text {TH }}$ GRADE SCIENCE RESULTS

|  | Partially Proficient <br> $(0-199)$ | Proficient <br> $(200-249)$ | Advanced Proficient <br> $(250-300)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2014-2015$ <br> $(116$ students tested) | 3 students <br> $(2.6 \%)$ | 42 students <br> $(36.3 \%)$ | 69 students <br> $(59.5 \%)$ |
| $2015-2016$ <br> $(95$ students tested) | 1 student <br> $(1.1 \%)$ | 30 students <br> $(31.6 \%)$ | 64 students <br> $(67.4 \%)$ |

## PARCC PERFORMANCE LEVELS

- Level 1: Not yet meeting grade-level expectations (650-699)
- Level 2: Partially meeting grade-level expectations (700-724)
- Level 3: Approaching grade-level expectations (725-749)
- Level 4: Meeting grade-level expectations
- 750-809 for $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade ELA and 750-789 for $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade Math
- 750-789 for $4^{\text {th }}$ grade ELA and $750-795$ for $4^{\text {th }}$ grade Math
- 750-798 for $5^{\text {th }}$ grade ELA and $750-789$ for $5^{\text {th }}$ grade Math
- 750-789 for $6^{\text {th }}$ grade ELA and $750-787$ for $6^{\text {th }}$ grade Math
- Level 5: Exceeding grade-level expectations
- 810-850 for $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade ELA and 790-850 for $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade Math
- 790-850 for $4^{\text {th }}$ grade ELA and 796-850 for $4^{\text {th }}$ grade Math
- 799-850 for $5^{\text {th }}$ grade ELA and 790-850 for $5^{\text {th }}$ grade Math
- 790-850 for $6^{\text {th }}$ grade ELA and $788-850$ for $6^{\text {th }}$ grade Math


## COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Not Yet } \\ \text { Meeting } \\ \text { Expectations } \\ \text { (Level 1) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Partially } \\ \text { Meeting } \\ \text { Expectations } \\ \text { (Level 2) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Approaching } \\ \text { Expectations } \\ \text { (Level 3) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Meeting } \\ \text { Expectations } \\ \text { (Level 4) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Exceeding } \\ \text { Expectations } \\ \text { (Level 5) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% Change } \\ \text { in Level 1 } \\ \text { and Level 2 } \\ \text { 2 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% Change in } \\ \text { Level } 4 \text { and } \\ \text { Level 5 }\end{array} \\ \text { (College and } \\ \text { Career Ready) }\end{array}\right)$

Notes: Data shown is preliminary. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

## COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S

## SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY GRADE

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grade 3 | 1\% | 5\% | 14\% | 9\% | 31\% | 16\% | 48\% | 59\% | 6\% | 11\% |
| Grade 4 | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 3\% | 18\% | 21\% | 59\% | 64\% | 19\% | 12\% |
| Grade 5 | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 4\% | 18\% | 14\% | 59\% | 55\% | 15\% | 27\% |
| Grade 6 | 0\% | 1\% | 5\% | 8\% | 14\% | 24\% | 59\% | 50\% | 22\% | 17\% |

Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY BY GRADE BY COHORT

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations <br> (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grade 3 | 1\% | 5\% | 14\% | 9\% | 31\% | 16\% | 48\% | 59\% | 6\% | 11\% |
| Grade 4 | 0\% | 0\% |  | 3 | 18\% | 21\% | 59\% | 64\% | 19\% | 12\% |
| Grade 5 | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 4\% | 18\% | 14\% | 59\% | 55\% | 15\% | 27\% |
| Grade 6 | 0\% | 1\% | 5\% | 8\% |  | 24\% | 59\% | 50\% | 22\% | 17\% |

[^2]
## COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S COHORT GROWTH <br> 2015-2016 SPRING PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS BY COHORT

|  | \% Change in Level 1 and Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Change in Level 4 and Level 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (May 2016 Testing Grade) | $\begin{gathered} 201 \\ \text { Lvl } 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 015 \\ & \text { Lvl } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 201 \\ \text { Lvl } 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 016 \\ & \text { Lvl } 2 \end{aligned}$ | OPS | State \% |  | $\begin{array}{r} 201 \\ \text { Lvl } 4 \end{array}$ | $\text { Lvl } 5$ | $\begin{gathered} 201 \\ \text { Lvi } 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 016 \\ & \text { Lvl } 5 \end{aligned}$ | OPS | State |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Class of } \\ 2019 \\ \left(3^{\text {rd }} \text { Grade }\right) \end{gathered}$ | n/a | n/a | 5\% | 9\% | n/a |  | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 59\% | 11\% | n/a | n/a |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Class of } \\ 2018 \\ \text { (4th Grade) } \end{gathered}$ | 1\% | 14\% | 0\% | 3\% | -12\% | $\checkmark$ | 11.2\% | 48\% | 6\% | 64\% | 12\% | +22\% | - $10 \%$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Class of } \\ 2017 \\ \left(5^{\text {th }} \text { Grade }\right) \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 4\% | $0 \%$ | $\checkmark$ | .9\% | 59\% | 19\% | 55\% | 27\% | +4\% | 2.2\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Class of } \\ 2016 \\ \left(6^{\text {th }} \text { Grade }\right) \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | 8\% | 1\% | 8\% | +1\% | $\checkmark$ | .7\% | 59\% | 15\% | 50\% | 17\% | -7\% | .8\% |

## Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

[^3]
## ELA ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 3-4 COHORT)



## ELA ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 4-5 COHORT)



## ELA ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 5-6 COHORT)



## ELA TAKEAWAYS

- Cohort percentage decreases consistent with state averages in the "Not Meeting" and "Partially Meeting" scoring ranges in grades 4, 5, and 6
- Positive cohort percentage growth in the "Meeting" and "Exceeding" scoring ranges in grades 4 and 5 last year
- Continue to focus on students in the "Approaching" range
- Continue to focus on differentiating instruction in classrooms
- Continue to develop teacher efficiency when incorporating new curriculums, materials and state mandates
- Continue to use Linklt! to identify trends and students


## COMPARISON OF NEW JERSEY'S

## SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | \% Change <br> in Level 1 <br> and Level 2 | \% Change in Level 4 and Level 5 <br> (College and Career Ready) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Grade 3 | 8.3\% | 8.1\% | 18.5\% | 15.9\% | 28.3\% | 24.3\% | 36.9\% | 39.0\% | 8.0\% | 12.7\% | 2.8\% | - $6.8 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | 7.2\% | 8.0\% | 21.9\% | 18.6\% | 30.3\% | 26.8\% | 36.3\% | 41.2\% | 4.3\% | 5.4\% | 2.5\% | - $5.9 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | 6.1\% | 6.2\% | 20.7\% | 18.3\% | 32.1\% | 28.2\% | 34.9\% | 38.4\% | 6.1\% | 8.8\% | 2.3\% | 1 $6.2 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | 7.6\% | 8.9\% | 21.4\% | 19.1\% | 30.2\% | 29.1\% | 34.8\% | 35.6\% | 6.0\% | 7.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.2.2\% |

[^4]
## COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S

## SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY GRADE

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grade 3 | 0\% | 2\% | 7\% | 3\% | 27\% | 26\% | 52\% | 51\% | 14\% | 19\% |
| Grade 4 | 0\% | 1\% | 9\% | 10\% | 23\% | 12\% | 59\% | 72\% | 9\% | 5\% |
| Grade 5 | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 5\% | 28\% | 16\% | 52\% | 46\% | 16\% | 33\% |
| Grade 6 | 0\% | 2\% | 7\% | 8\% | 19\% | 31\% | 62\% | 45\% | 12\% | 14\% |

[^5]
## COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S

## SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY GRADE BY COHORT

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grade 3 | 0\% | 2\% | 7\% | 3\% | 27\% | 26\% | 52\% | 51\% | 14\% | 19\% |
| Grade 4 | 0\% | 1\% |  | 10\% | 23\% | 12\% | 59\% | 72\% | 9\% | 5\% |
| Grade 5 | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 5\% | 28\% | 16\% | 52\% | 46\% | 16\% | 33\% |
| Grade 6 | 0\% | 2\% |  | 8\% | 19\% | - 31\% | 62\% | 45\% | 12\% | 14\% |

[^6]
## COMPARISON OF ORADELL PUBLIC SCHOOL'S COHORT GROWTH 2015-2016 SPRING PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS BY COHORT

|  | \% Change in Level 1 and Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Change in Level 4 and Level 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (May 2016 Testing Grade) | $\begin{array}{r} 201 \\ \text { Lvl } 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 \\ & \text { Lvl } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Lvl } 1}{2015}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { Lvl } 2 \end{aligned}$ | OPS |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 201 \\ \text { Lvl } 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 015 \\ & \text { Lvl } 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 201 \\ \text { Lvl } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 016 \\ & \text { Lvl } 5 \end{aligned}$ | OPS | State |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Class of } \\ 2019 \\ \left(3^{\text {rd }} \text { Grade }\right) \end{gathered}$ | n/a | n/a | 2\% | 3\% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | $51 \%$ | 19\% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Class of } \\ 2018 \\ \left(4^{\text {th }} \text { Grade }\right) \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | 7\% | 1\% | 10\% | +4\% | $\checkmark$ | . $2 \%$ | 52\% | 14\% | 72\% | 5\% | +11\% | - $1.7 \%$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Class of } \\ 2017 \\ \left(5^{\text {th }} \text { Grade }\right) \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | 9\% |  | 5\% | -4\% | 1 | 4.6\% | 59\% | 9\% | 46\% | 33\% | +11\% | - $6.6 \%$ |
| Class of 2016 (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade) | 0\% | 4\% |  | 8\% | +6\% | $\checkmark$ | 1.2\% | 52\% | 16\% | 45\% | 14\% | -9\% | -1.9\% |

[^7]
## MATH ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 3-4 COHORT)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2015 PARCC Level: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Year-to-Year Change: |  |  |  | Not Meeting | Partially Meeting | Approaching | Meeting | Exceeding |
|  |  |  | Up 2+ Levels |  |  |  | - | 50\% | 0\% |  |  |
|  |  |  | Up 1 Level |  |  |  | - | 17\% | 48\% | 0\% |  |
|  |  |  | Same |  |  |  | - | 33\% | 26\% | 90\% | 42\% |
|  |  |  | Down 1 Level |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 26\% | 10\% | 58\% |
|  |  |  | Down 2+ Levels |  |  |  |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| Not Meeting |  |  | Partially Meeting |  |  | Approaching |  | Meeting |  | Exceeding |  |
| 2015 | 2016 |  | 20152016 |  |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=0$ | 0\% |  | $\mathrm{n}=0$ | 0\% |  | $\mathrm{n}=0$ 0\% |  | $\mathrm{n}=0 \quad 0 \%$ | $\mathrm{n}=12$ | $\mathrm{n}=5 \quad 42 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=0$ | 0\% |  | $\mathrm{n}=3$ | 50\% |  | $\mathrm{n}=11$ 48\% | $\mathrm{n}=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=38 \quad 90 \%$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=7 \quad 58 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=0$ | 0\% |  | $\mathrm{n}=1$ | 17\% | n=23 | n=6 26\% |  | $\mathrm{n}=4 \quad 10 \%$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=0 \quad 0 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=0$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{n}=6$ | $\mathrm{n}=2$ | 33\% |  | n=6 26\% |  | n=0 0\% |  | n=0 0\% |
| $\mathrm{n}=0$ | $\mathrm{n}=0$ | 0\% |  | $\mathrm{n}=0$ | 0\% |  | n=0 0\% |  | n=0 0\% |  | n=0 0\% |

## MATH ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 4-5 COHORT)

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |

## MATH ACHIEVEMENT MOBILITY TREE CHART 2015-2016 PARCC (GRADE 5-6 COHORT)



## MATH TAKEAWAYS

- Cohort percentage decreases consistent with state averages in the "Not Meeting" and "Partially Meeting" scoring ranges in grades 4 and 5
- Positive cohort growth in the "Meeting" and "Exceeding" scoring range in grades 4 and 5 last year
- Continue to focus on students in the "Approaching" range
- Continue to focus on differentiating instruction in classrooms
- Continue to develop teacher efficiency when incorporating new curriculums, materials and state mandates
- Continue to use LinkIt! to identify trends and students


## SOME QUESTIONS TO GUIDE PARCC DATA REFLECTION

- How will we use PARCC data to identify strengths and gaps that exist in our curriculum and instruction?
- How will we use PARCC data as a tool to address areas in need of improvement or enhancement?
- How can we provide additional resources and support for our educators to meet the learning needs of all our students?


## RESOURCES FOR PARENTS

- River Dell, River Edge and Oradell jointly sent home PARCC and NJ ASK score reports to families Monday September 26, 2016
- Information on the new 2015-16 PARCC Student Reports: www.state.nj.us/education/assessment/parcc/scores/
- Understanding the student score reports (with translations): understandthescore.org/


## How Did xxxx Perform Overall?




[^0]:    Notes: Data shown is preliminary. "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for Mathematics.

[^1]:    Notes: Data shown is preliminary. "Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores for Mathematics.

[^2]:    Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

[^3]:    - An up arrow indicates an increase of the \% change from the previous year where a down arrow shows a decrease of the \% change from the previous year.

[^4]:    Notes: Data shown is preliminary. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

[^5]:    Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

[^6]:    Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

[^7]:    Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

    - An up arrow indicates an increase of the \% change from the previous year where a down arrow shows a decrease of the \% change from the previous year.

